Quantitative modelling of motion, temperature gyrations, and growth of inclusions in weld pool
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The velocity and temperature fields, the shape and size of the fusion zone, and the motion of the inclusions were calculated by the solution of equations of conservation of mass, momentum, and energy in three dimensions for various welding conditions. The loci and the temperature gyrations experienced by a large number of inclusions were calculated numerically to seek a statistically meaningful residence time distribution of the inclusions and the number of intensities of the temperature cycles experienced by these particles. Finally, both the growth and dissolution of the inclusions were considered to calculate their size distribution. The inclusions experienced considerable recirculatory motion and strong temperature gyrations along their paths in the weld pool. The temperature-time plots for most of the inclusion particles displayed several temperature peaks. However, about one-third of the particles experienced continuous cooling behaviour. The average number of the temperature peaks in the time–temperature plots and the average residence time of inclusions in the weld pool changed significantly with welding conditions. The calculated temperature-time-transformation diagrams of the inclusions showed that the temperature gyrations affected the growth rates and the size distributions of the inclusions. Good agreement between the calculated and measured inclusion size distributions indicates that important aspects of weld metal structure can be understood from the fundamentals of transport phenomena and kinetics.
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INTRODUCTION

Safety and reliability of welded joints depend on the geometry, composition, and structure of the weldments. In recent years efforts have been made to understand quantitatively each of these factors, particularly weldment structure. Since the relationship between structure and properties has been most thoroughly studied for crystalline materials, especially for metals and alloys, the desirable weld metal structure can readily be identified for most common engineering alloys. Therefore, an important goal of contemporary welding research is to identify the welding variable required to produce desirable weld metal and heat affected zone structures.

An important component of calculations of microstructure is knowledge of how the temperature varies with time in all locations of interest within a weldment. The temperature histories are then used in an appropriate phase transformation model. By comparing model predictions with independent experimental data, the calculation procedure can be validated and then used with a reasonable degree of confidence. The most important feature of this approach is its usefulness in quantitatively predicting trends in microstructure resulting from variations in both welding variables and weld metal composition.

Critical to the weld metal properties are the nature, amount, and distribution of various phases and the composition, morphology, and distribution of inclusions. In low alloy steels, the existence of certain kinds of inclusions can improve toughness by promoting the formation of acicular ferrite, a high toughness ferrite phase. However, controlling the amount of inclusions in the structure is a critical task, since the presence of a large volume fraction of inclusions leads to poor properties. Oxide inclusions are the most common in steel weldments, although nitride and sulphide inclusions may also be present. The oxide inclusions in steel welds form by reactions between the dissolved deoxidising elements, such as aluminium, titanium, silicon, and manganese, and dissolved oxygen. The composition of the weld metal plays an important role in determining the chemical composition of the inclusions. Welding variables such as the voltage, current, and welding speed significantly influence the composition of various phases in the microstructure and the number density, size distribution, and composition of the inclusions. Therefore, it is desirable to predict the effect of welding variables on the inclusion characteristics.

In most previous studies, the stability and formation of inclusions in steels have been examined mainly from thermodynamic considerations. Only in recent years has work started on the kinetics of inclusion growth. Chai and Eagar developed a relationship between the basicity of the slag and the oxygen concentration of the weld metal by considering slag–metal reactions. Their work showed that the primary reactions of importance are those involving silicon, manganese, and oxygen. Mitra and Eagar were successful in predicting weld metal chemistry from knowledge of baseplate, wire, and flux compositions; they also pointed out the difficulty of determining the weld metal oxygen concentration from welding variables. Grong et al. developed a model to predict the deposit chemistry from slag–metal reactions. Klunken and Grong suggested a model to predict inclusion characteristics such as volume fraction, size, and chemical composition from weld metal composition and welding conditions. They proposed that the inclusions form in the hot region of the weld pool and grow by Ostwald ripening in the cold region. An empirical formula to estimate the volume fraction of inclusions by considering the concentrations of oxygen and sulphur in the steel was developed. Bhadeshia and Svensson predicted inclusion composition by considering sequential dezoxidation. The dezoxidation reactions during solidification are
affected by compositional changes. Olson et al.\textsuperscript{17} suggested that the free energies of formation of various oxides are affected by solute enrichment.

Recently, Babu et al.\textsuperscript{16,19} proposed a series of generally applicable temperature–time–transformation (TTT) diagrams for inclusion growth by considering the nucleation rates of inclusions and their subsequent growth. This recent work provides a quantifiable method to estimate the effects of time and temperature on the composition and growth rate of inclusions for a given alloy composition. While such a model is useful for a general understanding of inclusion growth, the model can not relate welding conditions with inclusion characteristics. Indeed, it is the specifics of the temperature–time histories experienced by the inclusions that affect their composition and size distribution. Knowledge of temperature–time histories during the growth of a large number of individual inclusions is a prerequisite for the phenomenological understanding of the composition and size distribution of inclusions in the weld pool for a given set of welding conditions.

Inside the weld pool, molten liquid undergoes vigorous circulation\textsuperscript{20,21} resulting from buoyancy, surface tension, and (when electric current is used) electromagnetic forces. Since the fluid flow in the weld pool is recirculatory in nature, an inclusion grows or dissolves while in a state of varying motion, experiencing thermal excursions within the weld pool during its entire life cycle. At any given instant, its velocity and temperature depend on the velocity and temperature fields prevailing in the weld pool. While the nucleation of inclusions can occur in various regions of the weld pool, the welding variables and the material of the workpiece determine the size and shape of the weld pool and the temperature and velocity fields. Calculation of transient velocity and temperature fields in a moving weld pool with a fair degree of accuracy is computationally intensive.\textsuperscript{22} However, considerable advances have recently been made in the calculation of fluid flow and heat transfer in the weld pool and these calculations can provide significant insight into the welding process that can not be achieved otherwise. However, very little effort has been made to combine these powerful new capabilities to understand fusion zone microstructures and inclusion growth.

Meaningful tracking of the temperature and velocities of thousands of inclusion particles as they move through regions of varying temperatures is an arduous task even with the help of fast workstations. Motivation for undertaking such calculations arises from the fact that the growth rates of individual inclusion particles are determined by their temperature excursions and residence times at various temperatures. In order to understand the effects of welding variables and weld metal composition on the size distribution and the composition of inclusions from fundamentals, temperature histories and the residence times of a large number of growing inclusions must be understood for each welding condition. The present work seeks to understand inclusion growth in a low alloy steel weld pool by considering the path, temperature history, velocities, and oxygen diffusion kinetics during shield gas arc welding processes.

### Table 1: Welding parameters selected to calculate particle tracking

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Arc voltage (V)</th>
<th>Arc current (A)</th>
<th>Welding velocity (mm s(^{-1}))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>12.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>12.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>12.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>5.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>23.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2: Data used for calculation of temperature and velocity fields

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Arc voltage</th>
<th>Arc current</th>
<th>Welding velocity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>27 V</td>
<td>200, 300, 500 A</td>
<td>5.2, 12.7, 23.2 mm s(^{-1})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Density</td>
<td>7200 kg m(^{-3})</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solidus temperature</td>
<td>1745 K</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liquidus temperature</td>
<td>1780 K</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Viscosity of liquid</td>
<td>0.024 N s m(^{-2})</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thermal conductivity of solid</td>
<td>20.92 W m(^{-1}) K(^{-1})</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thermal conductivity of liquid</td>
<td>334.72 W m(^{-1}) K(^{-1})</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enthalpy of solid at melting point</td>
<td>1.05 \times 10^6 J kg(^{-1})</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enthalpy of liquid at melting point</td>
<td>1.32 \times 10^6 J kg(^{-1})</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diffusion coefficient of oxygen in steel</td>
<td>1.0 \times 10^{-8} m(^2) s(^{-1})</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specific heat of solid</td>
<td>703 J kg(^{-1}) K(^{-1})</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specific heat of liquid</td>
<td>808 J kg(^{-1}) K(^{-1})</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### CALCULATION

#### Numerical prediction of temperature and velocity fields in weld pool

The velocity and temperature fields, the shape and size of the fusion zone, and the motion of the inclusions are calculated from the solution of equations of conservation of mass, momentum, and energy in three dimensions for various values of welding variables. The governing equations are modified by formulating them in the coordinate system attached to the heat source. The net velocity in the computational domain is subdivided into the convective velocity of the liquid metal relative to the solid part of the workpiece and the welding velocity. The convective velocity of the fluid is treated as the primary unknown velocity. Therefore, the enthalpy–porosity technique\textsuperscript{22,23} can easily be implemented for flow modelling in the mushy zone. The welding velocity also contributes to physically meaningful source terms in the momentum and energy equations that can be conveniently discretised using the upwind scheme.\textsuperscript{24} A control volume technique, described by Patankar,\textsuperscript{25,26} has been implemented for the discretisation of the governing equations. The SIMPLER algorithm\textsuperscript{27} was used for the solution of the equations.

The technique used to account for the phase change is based on the works of Voller and Prakash\textsuperscript{22} and Brent et al.\textsuperscript{25} The total enthalpy of the material is represented as a sum of sensible heat and latent heat content. Liquid fraction and latent heat content are assumed to be a linear function of temperature. The modified energy equation is therefore given by

\[
\nabla \cdot \rho \mathbf{V} h = \nabla \cdot \left( \rho \mathbf{V} \mathbf{h} \right) + S_l - \nabla \cdot \rho h 
\]

where \( \rho \) is the density, \( \mathbf{V} \) is the convective component of the velocity, \( h \) is the sensible heat, \( \kappa \) is the thermal conductivity, \( C_p \) is the specific heat, \( S_l \) is the source term that accounts for latent heat of melting and convective transport of latent heat in the two phase region, and \( U \) is the welding velocity.

The model was verified by comparing experimental measurements of weld pool geometry and transient temperatures at several selected locations in the weldment with the corresponding results obtained from the model at different welding speeds for the shielded metal arc welding of mild steels.\textsuperscript{26} Good agreement between the experimental and the predicted values of the weld pool width and depth and the transient temperature values was achieved.

Because of the symmetry of the workpiece, the computational domain was limited to half of the plate to save computational effort. A \( 50 \times 28 \times 26 \) non-uniform computational mesh was used to obtain maximum resolution. The grids were finer near the heat source in all three directions. Welding parameters and the data used for the calculation of heat transfer and fluid flow are given in Tables 1 and 2.
Table 3 Interaction coefficients in liquid iron at 1873 K

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>C</th>
<th>Si</th>
<th>Mn</th>
<th>Cr</th>
<th>Ni</th>
<th>Al</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Ti</th>
<th>O</th>
<th>H</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>-0.012</td>
<td>-0.024</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>0.0043</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>-0.34</td>
<td>0.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Si</td>
<td>0.188</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>-0.0003</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.058</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>-0.23</td>
<td>0.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mn</td>
<td>-0.07</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>-0.083</td>
<td>-0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr</td>
<td>-0.12</td>
<td>-0.0043</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>-0.0003</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>0.059</td>
<td>-0.14</td>
<td>-0.33</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni</td>
<td>0.042</td>
<td>0.057</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>0.042</td>
<td>-0.025</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al</td>
<td>0.091</td>
<td>0.0056</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.047</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
<td>-0.047</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>-0.028</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-0.53</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ti</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>0.055</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O</td>
<td>-0.45</td>
<td>-0.131</td>
<td>-0.021</td>
<td>-0.04</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>0.057</td>
<td>-0.23</td>
<td>-3.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.027</td>
<td>-0.0014</td>
<td>-0.0022</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>-0.19</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

respectively. Details of the calculations were given in a previous paper.20

Thermodynamics and kinetics of inclusion growth and dissolution
A number of assumptions were made to understand the growth and dissolution of oxide inclusions in the weld pool, namely:
(i) the weld pool is compositionally homogeneous
(ii) the nuclei are spherical and each grows without any interaction with other nuclei; collision and coalescence of inclusions are ignored for simplicity
(iii) local equilibrium prevails at the interface between the inclusion and the liquid metal
(iv) the inclusion growth and dissolution rate can be calculated from the flux of oxygen alone.

The free energy change $\Delta G$ associated with the reaction
$$xM + yO = M_xO_y$$

between an oxide inclusion and liquid steel is
$$\Delta G = \Delta G^0 + RT \ln(a_{M,O}/a_{M} a_{O})$$

where $\Delta G^0$ is the standard free energy for this reaction; $R$ is the gas constant; $T$ is the temperature; $a_{M}$ and $a_{O}$ are the activities of dissolved metal, oxygen, and metal oxide respectively; and $x$ and $y$ are the stoichiometric coefficients. Considering the stoichiometry of the reaction, it is possible to write
$$[M] = [M_{orig}] - (x M/[y O]) ([O_{orig}] - [O])$$

where $[M]$ and $[O]$ are the equilibrium concentrations of metal and oxygen at the interface, $[M_{orig}]$ and $[O_{orig}]$ are the original percentage concentrations of metal and oxygen in the bulk, and $M$ and $O$ are the atomic weights of metal and oxygen. For simplicity, the nucleation rate of inclusions $I_v$ was calculated considering homogeneous nucleation from
$$I_v = A \exp(-\Delta G_{hom}/k_B T)$$

$$= A \exp(-16\pi\sigma^3 r_m^2/3k_B T \Delta G^2)$$

Table 4 Parameters for calculation of standard free energy for various oxidation reactions: $\Delta G^0 = a + bT$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Oxidation reaction</th>
<th>$a$, kJ mol$^{-1}$</th>
<th>$b$, kJ mol$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fe + O = FeO(s)</td>
<td>-138.78</td>
<td>56.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2Al + 3O = Al$_2$O$_3$(s)</td>
<td>-1208.3</td>
<td>390.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ti + 2O = TiO$_2$(s)</td>
<td>-674.90</td>
<td>233.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2Ti + 3O = Ti$_2$O$_5$(s)</td>
<td>-1087.3</td>
<td>356.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3Ti + 5O = Ti$_3$O$_7$(s)</td>
<td>-1754.3</td>
<td>568.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Si + 2O = SiO$_2$(s)</td>
<td>-589.17</td>
<td>228.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mn + O = MnO(s)</td>
<td>-287.65</td>
<td>125.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe + 2Al + 4O = FeO$_2$Al$_2$O$_4$(s)</td>
<td>-1380.2</td>
<td>453.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mn + 2Al + 4O = MnO$_2$Al$_2$O$_4$(s)</td>
<td>-1543.99</td>
<td>523.42</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

where $\Delta G_{hom}^0$ is the activation energy for the formation of the oxide nucleus for homogeneous nucleation, $A$ is a constant, $k_B$ is Boltzmann’s constant, $\sigma$ is the interfacial energy of the inclusion equal to $0.5 J m^{-2}$; $V_m$ is the molar volume of the oxide inclusion, and $\Delta G$ can be calculated from equation (2). The interaction coefficients $x$ and the standard free energies of formation of oxides are given in Tables 3 and 4. The composition of the steel16 was Fe–0.066C–0.82Si–1.66Mn–0.025Cr–0.03Ni–0.026Al–0.007N–0.025Ti–0.084O (wt-%). For the overall reaction kinetics involving long range diffusion and precipitation, the extent of transformation $\xi$ as a function of time $t$ is given by
$$\xi = 1 - \exp\left(-[c_{Al} - c_{Al}^0]v_t/8(\pi/15)(\alpha T)^{1/2}\right)$$

where $c_{Al}$ is the nominal concentration of metal element far away from the inclusion/liquid interface, $c_{Al}^0$ and $c_{Al}^0$ are the concentrations of metal in the inclusion and at the inclusion/liquid interface respectively, and $\alpha T$ is the parabolic thickening rate constant. For a given steel composition, the TTT diagram of various oxide inclusions can be calculated using equations (2)–(5). These equations were used in a previous paper18 to calculate the TTT diagram for inclusion formation. In the present paper, the calculations were extended by including local temperature excursions of a large number of growing inclusions to understand their growth.

The growth and dissolution rates of small spherical inclusions are assumed to be limited by the transport of oxygen in the alloy. Several approximations can be used to solve the diffusion equation.28–30 These are the invariant field space (Laplace), invariant size (stationary interface), and linear gradient approximations. Aaron et al.29 evaluated the accuracy of these approximations and found that the stationary interface approximation is more accurate than the other assumptions. This group also found that the result obtained assuming Laplace approximation was almost the same as that obtained assuming the stationary interface approximation in many alloy systems during growth. However, the expression obtained assuming the Laplace approximation is considerably simpler
$$r = -(k D_0 t)^{1/2} x_T^{1/2}$$

where $r$ is the radius of the inclusion, $D_0$ is the diffusion coefficient of oxygen in the alloy, $t$ is the growth time after nucleation, and $x_T$ is given by
$$x_T = (-D_0 k)^{1/2}$$

provided that $|k| \ll 1$, for the constant $k$ defined as
$$k = 2(c_{Al} - c_{Al}^0)/(c_{Al}^0 - c_{Al})$$

where $c_{Al}^0$ is the nominal concentration of oxygen far away from the inclusion/liquid interface and $c_{Al}$ and $c_{Al}$ are the concentrations of oxygen in the inclusion and at the inclusion/liquid interface respectively.
1 Effect of temperature on nucleation rate for various oxide inclusions

The velocity of the moving interface between the inclusion and the liquid metal \( v \) can be written as

\[
v = dr/dt = (\Delta x^2/dt)^{1/2} + \Delta x^2/2Lt^{1/2}
\]  

(9)

The value of \( \Delta x^2 \) is a function of temperature and alloy composition. As a result, for a given composition, \( k \) and \( \Delta x^2 \) depend on temperature. The relationship between \( \Delta x^2 \) and temperature is calculated from equations (2), (3), (6), and (8). It was found from the calculated results that \( \Delta x^2 \) changes by less than 10% when the temperature changes from 1700 to 2200 K, so its change with temperature (and time) may be neglected.

From equation (9), the change in the radius \( \Delta r \) during a small time step \( \Delta t \) can be written as

\[
\Delta r = (\Delta x^2/2Lt^{1/2})\Delta t
\]  

(10)

The new radius of the inclusion after the time step is given by

\[
r_1 = r_0 + \Delta r
\]  

(11)

where \( r_0 \) and \( r_1 \) are the radii before and after the time step respectively.

For the dissolution of the inclusions, the solution of the diffusion equation with a stationary interface approximation was considered by Whelan. By considering the Laplace approximation for short times, Whelan obtained the simple approximate solution

\[
\Delta r = (-k/2)[(D_o/r) + (D_o/nt)^{1/2}]\Delta t
\]  

(12)

where \( D_o \) is the oxygen diffusion coefficient in the bulk. Because the inclusions move rapidly in the elevated temperature regions, the concentration field near an inclusion is assumed to be constant during the dissolution of oxide. Therefore, the Laplace equation is appropriate for the situation considered here.

Calculation of life cycle of inclusions in weld pool

A calculation procedure was developed to trace trajectories of inclusion particles during their transport within the weld pool. The time steps were calculated in an adaptive way. A submerged inclusion is moved by forces of buoyancy, drag, and inertia. The buoyancy force is small compared with the drag force for usual weld pool conditions and is neglected in the present work. The inertia force acting on the particle is also neglected for simplicity. Therefore, the particles considered here are massless and follow stream lines through the velocity field in the weld pool according to

\[
r_1 = r_0 + v \cdot \Delta t
\]  

(13)

\[
v = v(r_0)
\]  

(14)

where \( r_1 \) is the new location vector, \( r_0 \) is the old location vector, \( v \) is the velocity value at the old location, and \( \Delta r \) is the adaptive time step. For each time step, the new location and corresponding temperature and velocity values can be obtained.

To understand if inclusion growth is limited by the extent of metal supply during the inclusion’s life cycle, the supply and consumption rates of aluminium along the moving path of a specific particle in the weld pool were estimated. The consumption rate \( R_c \) is given by

\[
R_c = \rho_i(V_1 - V_0)[xM_M/(xM_M + yM_O)]/\Delta t
\]  

(15)

where \( \rho_i \) is the density of oxide inclusion and \( V_1 \) and \( V_0 \) are the volume of inclusion before and after the time step \( \Delta t \). The supply rate of aluminium in a volume travelled by an inclusion particle \( R_s \) is given by

\[
R_s = V_s\rho_i[M] = S \rho_i[M]
\]  

(16)

where \( V_s \) is the volume of the space that the inclusion passes along its path per unit time, \( S \) is the cross-sectional area of the inclusion, \( \rho_i \) is the density of liquid, and, in this case, \([M]\) is the concentration of aluminium in steel and \( v \) is the velocity of the inclusion. The ratio \( R_s/R_c \) was found to be about 0·001, so the rate of inclusion formation was not affected by the lack of supply of aluminium.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The nucleation rates of various inclusions calculated from equation (4) are shown in Fig. 1. For the formation of alumina inclusions, the calculated nucleation rates are quite high, up to about 2100 K. The rate decreases with an increase in temperature and becomes very low below 2200 K. Since these rates are calculated from a homogeneous nucleation model, the actual nucleation rate is expected to be somewhat higher. The calculated TTT diagram for a 1% completion of reaction shows that the sequence of inclusion formation is: \( \text{Al}_2\text{O}_3 \), \( \text{MnO}_2\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3 \), \( \text{Ti}_3\text{O}_7 \), \( \text{FeO}_2\text{Al}_2\text{O}_5 \), \( \text{TiO}_2 \), \( \text{SiO}_2 \), \( \text{MnO} \) (Fig. 2). These calculated results show that \( \text{Al}_2\text{O}_3 \) is the most stable oxide inclusion. The curve representing the formation of alumina levels off at about 2200 K, since above this temperature the nucleation rate is very low. Calculation shows that alumina will dissociate at temperatures above about 2240 K for the alloy composition considered in the present work. Furthermore, the rate of supply of alumina in the weld pool from the melting of the baseplate is higher than the rate of oxidation. The calculations show that the consumption rate is about 0·1% of the supply rate. Therefore, for the conditions considered in the present work, the growth of \( \text{Al}_2\text{O}_3 \) inclusions is not limited by the lack of supply of aluminium.
Temperature and velocity fields and typical path of particle in weld pool: arc voltage = 27 V, arc current = 300 A, welding velocity = 12.7 mm s\(^{-1}\)

The calculated temperature field in Fig. 3 is typical of fusion welding, showing higher temperature gradients ahead of the heat source than behind it. Since the spatial gradient of temperature determines the Marangoni stress, and the Marangoni force is a major driving force for the circulation of liquid metal in the weld pool, the velocities ahead of the weld pool are somewhat higher than those behind the heat source. The velocities in the weld pool are radially outward, i.e. away from the heat source towards the periphery of the weld pool. Temperature is highest near the heat source and decreases away from this region. The resulting Marangoni stress leads to fluid convection in the weld pool. The weld pool shape obtained from the temperature field shows a typical elongated profile and the calculated weld pool depth and width were in good agreement with experimental data. This agreement indicates the suitability of the calculation procedure and provides a basis for understanding inclusion growth considering the recirculatory motion in the weld pool and the temperature excursion experiences of the inclusions.

Using the velocity field shown in Fig. 3, the loci of various particles in the weld pool were calculated. Since the inclusions can nucleate in all regions within the weld pool where they are stable, various starting locations for inclusion growth were considered. The number of grid points within the liquid region depended on the welding variables, while the total number of grids was kept constant at 56 x 28 x 28. In the present calculations, at least 1100 grid points were always located within the liquid weld pool. A typical locus of a particle is also shown in Fig. 3. It is observed that the particle undergoes significant recirculatory motion in the weld pool. The corresponding temperature gyrations along the path of the particle are shown in Fig. 4a. In most cases, the temperature–time plots of the particles had several characteristic peaks, which characterise the recirculatory nature of the particle paths. However, a large proportion of the inclusions experienced continuous cooling after they were nucleated. Such behaviour is shown in Fig. 4b. For the typical welding condition of case 2 listed in Table 1, about 34% of the particles exhibited continuous cooling as shown in Fig. 5. The nature of the temperature–time plot depends on the initial location of the particle. It is also shown in Fig. 5 that some particles experienced more than 20 characteristic peaks.
7 Average number of peaks and average residence time

20 temperature peaks. The temperature gyrations experienced by such a particle are shown in Fig. 6. In view of the differences in the temperature gyrations experienced by different particles, it is important to examine the average number of temperature peaks in the life cycle of the inclusions in the weld pool.

The average number of peaks in the temperature–time plots depends on the welding conditions. For example, when arc power is kept at 8.1 kW and welding speed is increased from 5.2 to 23.2 mm s⁻¹, the average number of peaks decreases from 8.6 to 2.4 (Fig. 7a). The reduction in the number of peaks is accompanied by the lower residence time of the particles at high welding speeds. The average residence time decreases from 0.53 to 0.18 s (Fig. 7a) when the welding speed increases from 5.2 to 23.2 mm s⁻¹. When the welding speed is kept constant at 12.7 mm s⁻¹ and the arc power is changed from 5.4 to 13.5 kW, the average residence time changes from 0.16 to 0.38 s, primarily because of the enlargement of the pool size at higher values of arc power. The residence time of 90% of particles in the weld pool is less than 0.7 s, as shown in Fig. 8. The average residence time is about 0.25 s for the case 2 welding conditions in Table 1.

The highest temperature that the particles can attain has a wide distribution, from the melting point of 1750 K to the highest temperature of about 2710 K, as shown in Fig. 9. It should be noted that when the time average temperatures experienced by the particles are determined by considering temperatures in close proximity of the particles (=ΣTΔt/ΣΔt, where T is the instantaneous temperature), the resulting temperature (2040 K) is found to be much lower than the mean temperature (2230 K) between the liquidus temperature (1750 K) and the highest temperature (2710 K) in the weld pool. The reason for the lower than average temperature experienced by the inclusions can be understood from consideration of the velocity and the temperature fields in the weld pool. The weld pool temperatures are highest where the heat source impinges on the weld pool surface. The liquid metal velocities are also highest in this region. Because of the high velocities prevail-
Typical inclusion temperature gyration and corresponding size change in weld pool: arc voltage = 27 V, arc current = 300 A, welding velocity = 12.7 mm s\(^{-1}\); a for arc voltage = 27 V, arc current = 300 A, welding velocity = 23.2 mm s\(^{-1}\); b for arc voltage = 27 V, arc current = 300 A, welding velocity = 12.7 mm s\(^{-1}\); c for arc voltage = 27 V, arc current = 300 A, welding velocity = 5.2 mm s\(^{-1}\); d of experimental results redrawn from Ref. 10

Achieving surface alloying, dissociation of inorganic compounds may also be predicted by such a simple (and inaccurate) assumption, although, in practice, dissociation may not occur because of the low time average temperature actually experienced by the particles.

For the low alloy steel composition given above, the calculated values of \(\frac{\Delta f}{\Delta t}\) at various temperatures are shown in Fig. 10. The results show that the rate constant is virtually constant at temperatures lower than about 2000 K. At temperatures above 2200 K, the growth rate decreases. A typical temperature–time plot and the corresponding inclusion radius vs. time plot are shown in Fig. 11. It can be seen that this inclusion begins to dissolve above about 2240 K and grows again when the temperature falls below 2200 K. The inclusion's radius reaches about 0.95 \(\mu\)m before it is lodged in the solidifying weld metal. From Fig. 9 it can be seen that the majority of the inclusions are exposed to temperatures above 2240 K. Therefore, the effect of dissolution on the inclusion size distribution must be considered.

The calculated equilibrium dissolution temperature of \(Al_2O_3\) is about 40 K higher than the maximum temperature for growth observed in the TTT diagram in Fig. 2. This temperature gap results from the very low nucleation rate above 2200 K considered in the model. The enhanced heterogeneous nucleation rate will increase the highest temperature at which alumina can form and, therefore, decrease the 40 K temperature gap. Figure 12a–c provides a more complete picture of the inclusion size distributions for three different welding conditions (cases 2, 4, and 5 in Table 1). It can be seen that most inclusions are smaller
Comparison of inclusion sizes calculated from present work and Wagner equation

than 1 μm. Larger inclusion sizes are achieved at low welding velocities. The coarsening of the inclusions is facilitated by the longer times available at low welding speeds. Furthermore, the size distribution curve becomes flatter when the welding velocity decreases. The calculated size distribution is in good agreement with the measured values shown in Fig. 12d. Diameters experimentally determined from cross-sections were converted to actual diameters assuming Fullman theory with time. For example, if the inclusions grow by Ostwald ripening from the Wagner equation and the sizes of several inclusions were examined considering their recirculatory system of spheres. The main difference between the experimental and the calculated results is that the measured values show fewer small particles in the size range of 0–0.16 μm. A possible reason is that small inclusions are difficult to detect in micrographs. However, they are easily accounted for in the calculated result.

In the past, the mechanism of inclusion growth has been investigated by examining how the inclusion radius changes with time. For example, if the inclusions grow by Ostwald ripening assuming diffusion control and non-isothermal temperature gyrations experienced by the inclusions. These results do not rule out the possibility of Ostwald ripening. What they do show is that examining the mechanism of inclusion growth based on how the inclusion size varies with time can lead to completely misleading conclusions.

CONCLUSIONS

1. The growth and dissolution of oxide inclusions in the weld pool were examined considering their recirculatory motion in the weld pool and their temperature gyration experiences. Equations of conservation of mass, momentum, and energy were solved numerically to understand the fluid flow pattern and temperature fields in the weld pool. The recirculatory motion in the weld pool and the temperature history of each of the inclusions were considered to examine their diffusion controlled growth and dissolution.

2. For the welding conditions considered, the weld pool geometry had a typical elongated profile. The computed pool dimensions agreed well with the corresponding measured values.

3. The calculated TTT diagrams showed the sequence of inclusion formation to be: Al₂O₃, MnO, Al₂O₃, TiO₂, FeO·Al₂O₃, TiO₂, SiO₂, MnO for the given steel composition. The supply rate of aluminum was considerably higher than its oxidation rate.

4. Temperature–time plots for the inclusion particles in many cases displayed several characteristic temperature peaks. However, about one-third of the particles experienced continuous cooling behaviour.

5. The average residence time of the particles in the weld pool and their size distributions were affected by the welding conditions. Calculated results showed that the residence time decreased with higher welding speeds and lower welding powers. The inclusions were coarser at low welding speeds and high welding powers.

6. Good agreement between the calculated and experimentally observed inclusion size distribution indicates that inclusion growth can be understood from the fundamentals of transport phenomena and kinetics.

The increase in inclusion size with time exhibited a pattern characteristic of Ostwald ripening, although the growth and dissolution of the inclusions were calculated assuming diffusion control and non-isothermal temperature gyrations experienced by the inclusions.
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Finniston Lecture

The SPIRIT of Sizewell B
A tribute to Monty Finniston: materials scientist, engineer and manager

The Institute of Materials’ prestigious Finniston Lecture, inaugurated in 1992 to commemorate the contributions made by Sir Monty Finniston in the fields of materials science, technology, and engineering, is given biennially. The 1998 lecture, The SPIRIT of Sizewell B, will be delivered by Brian Victor George, CBE, FEng, Group Managing Director GEC-Marine, delivered on Tuesday 2 June, the occasion of the institute’s Annual General Meeting, and will appear in Interdisciplinary Science Reviews.

This lecture will recall some of the key events which culminated in the construction of the first commercial pressurised water reactor (PWR) power station in the UK. It will highlight those areas which illustrate the wide ranging contribution which Sir Monty made in the fields of materials for nuclear power plant, to steelmaking, to excellence in engineering, and his ability to bring together scientific understanding and successful management. Safety, the Pressure boundary, Incredibility of failure, Reliability, Inspection, and Testing are some of the topics to be discussed. At the time that the CEGB sought permission to build Sizewell B, the public were becoming increasingly sceptical about both the safety and the economics of nuclear power. By the early 1980s neither the gas cooled reactor stations in the UK nor the PWR stations in the USA were delivering on their promises of cheap electricity. Safety and operational problems were emerging, most notably the Three Mile Island incident. While the UK safety standards withstood severe scrutiny a much higher level of proof that these standards could be met was required. The CEGB and the UK nuclear industry had to deliver a station which incorporated many new safety related features and which would achieve very much higher reliability and availability than had been so far achieved by any other nuclear station. The industry had been stung by accusations of secrecy and was determined to justify and fully account for its expenditure on Sizewell B to the public. Sizewell B achieved this objective as a result of the close coordination and enlightened approach taken by the scientific and nuclear safety community, the USA, French, and UK nuclear design and manufacturing industry, and the station construction, commissioning, and operating teams.

Those wishing to attend the 1998 Finniston Lecture, which will take place at the Royal Institute of International Affairs, St James’s Square, London SW1, should contact Cathy Pearcey, Conference Department, The Institute of Materials, 1 Carlton House Terrace, London SW1Y 5DB, UK, tel. +44 (0) 171 451 7340, fax +44 (0) 171 839 2289.